

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development 451 Seventh Street, SW Washington, DC 20410 www.hud.gov espanol.hud.gov

# Environmental Review for Activity/Project that is Categorically Excluded Subject to Section 58.5 Pursuant to 24 CFR 58.35(a)

# **Project Information**

Project Name: UWP-Dual-Diagnosis-PSH
<b>HEROS Number:</b> 900000010073381
Responsible Entity (RE): WICHITA, CITY HALL WICHITA KS, 67202
State / Local Identifier:
RE Preparer: Brad Snapp
Certifying Office Robert Layton r:
Grant Recipient (if different than Responsible Ent ity):
Point of Contact:
Consultant (if applicabl e):
Point of Contact:
<b>Project Location:</b> 245 N Water St, Wichita, KS 67202
Additional Location Information: N/A
Direct Comments to:
Description of the Proposed Project [24 CFR 50.12 & 58.32; 40 CFR 1508.25]:

The Dual Diagnosis Permanent Supportive Housing (DD PSH) project provides housing & specialized, supportive services for 19 persons meeting the DedicatedPLUS criteria. Persons experiencing chronic homelessness (CH) will be prioritized. While the project has a "No Wrong Door" approach for referrals within the CoC, the majority are expected to be referred from either the Coordinated Entry process or the CH Master List process on which all emergency shelters and street outreach providers participate. There are no preconditions of minimum income, sobriety, nor program requirements of medication compliance/service participation. A Vulnerability Index-Service Prioritization Decision Assistance Tool assessment will be conducted at the time of referral to ensure the project is the most appropriate housing option & to identify needed services in order to expedite access. United Way of the Plains will coordinate & contract with: 1) United Methodist Open Door (UMOD) which will lease scattered-site apartments in order to quickly provide housing to participants using sub-leases to remove barriers that would ordinarily stop or slow-down the process of obtaining housing. UMOD will provide specialized housing support in situations that might otherwise cause eviction & serve as a liaison with the property owner/manager, when needed; and 2) Miracles, Inc. (MI) which will apply their expertise as licensed professionals in the treatment of dual diagnosis to help participants manage the symptoms of their mental illness & substance use disorder. Project funded staff of MI will engage & support participants' recovery & wellness through case management services, peerto-peer counseling & clinical substance use treatment services. MI has years of experience connecting homeless persons to needed services & resources, including strategies to increase income & stretch limited budgets. Because of these formal partnerships, there will be understanding & acceptance of each participant's stage of recovery. Participants with severe needs & vulnerabilities will have access to exceptional housing support & experienced service providers who will help them reach their personalized goals for housing stability & increased income. Units at Waterpoint Apartments located at 802 S Water and two units in a four-plex owned by Calkan Apartments LLC located at 1219 and 1225 N Minnesota, will be leased for this proposed project.

Maps, photographs, and other documentation of project location and description:

Level of Environmental Review Determination:

Categorically Excluded per 24 CFR 58.35(a), and subject to laws and authorities at 58.5:

#### Determination:

<u> </u>	muton.
	This categorically excluded activity/project converts to <b>EXEMPT</b> per Section 58.34(a)(12), because it does not require any mitigation for compliance with any listed statutes or authorities, nor requires any formal permit or license; <b>Funds may be committed and drawn down after certification of this part</b> for this (now) EXEMPT project; OR
<b>✓</b>	This categorically excluded activity/project cannot convert to Exempt status because one or more statutes or authorities listed at Section 58.5 requires formal consultation or mitigation. Complete consultation/mitigation protocol requirements, <b>publish NOI/RROF and obtain "Authority to Use Grant Funds"</b> (HUD 7015.16) per Section 58.70 and 58.71 before committing or drawing down any funds; OR

This project is not categorically excluded OR, if originally categorically excluded, is now subject to a full Environmental Assessment according to Part 58 Subpart E due to extraordinary circumstances (Section 58.35(c)).

#### **Approval Documents:**

7015.15 certified by Certifying Officer

on:

7015.16 certified by Authorizing Officer

on:

## **Funding Information**

Grant / Project Identification Number	HUD Program	Program Name
	Community Planning and	
KS0091L7P021703	Development (CPD)	Continuum of Care Program

Estimated Total HUD Funded,

\$251,662.00

**Assisted or Insured Amount:** 

**Estimated Total Project Cost:** \$284,196.00

#### Compliance with 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5 and §58.6 Laws and Authorities

Compliance Factors: Statutes, Executive Orders, and Regulations listed at 24 CFR §50.4, §58.5, and §58.6	Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?	Compliance determination (See Appendix A for source determinations)			
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORDERS, AND REGULATIONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.6					
Airport Hazards	Airport Hazards □ Yes ☑ No The project site is not within 15,000 feet				
Clear Zones and Accident Potential		of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a			
Zones; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D		civilian airport. The project is in			
		compliance with Airport Hazards			
		requirements.			

Coastal Barrier Resources Act Coastal Barrier Resources Act, as amended by the Coastal Barrier Improvement Act of 1990 [16 USC 3501]	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.
Flood Insurance Flood Disaster Protection Act of 1973 and National Flood Insurance Reform Act of 1994 [42 USC 4001- 4128 and 42 USC 5154a]	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with Flood Insurance requirements.
STATUTES, EXECUTIVE ORD	DERS, AND REGULATION	ONS LISTED AT 24 CFR §50.4 & § 58.5
Air Quality Clean Air Act, as amended, particularly section 176(c) & (d); 40 CFR Parts 6, 51, 93	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.
Coastal Zone Management Act Coastal Zone Management Act, sections 307(c) & (d)	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is located in a state that does not participate in the Coastal Zone Management Program. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.
Contamination and Toxic Substances 24 CFR 50.3(i) & 58.5(i)(2)]	☑ Yes □ No	Site contamination was evaluated as follows: None of the above. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances were found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property. The adverse environmental impacts can be mitigated. With mitigation, identified in the mitigation section of this review, the project will be in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.
Endangered Species Act Endangered Species Act of 1973,	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project will have No Effect on listed species due to the nature of the activities involved in the project. This

particularly section 7; 50 CFR Part 402	☐ Yes ☑ No	project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act. This proposed project only involves leasing existing apartments and providing supportive services as described in the project summary.
Explosive and Flammable Hazards Above-Ground Tanks)[24 CFR Part 51 Subpart C	LI TES EL NO	Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.
Farmlands Protection Farmland Protection Policy Act of 1981, particularly sections 1504(b) and 1541; 7 CFR Part 658	□ Yes ☑ No	This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.
Floodplain Management Executive Order 11988, particularly section 2(a); 24 CFR Part 55	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988.
Historic Preservation National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, particularly sections 106 and 110; 36 CFR Part 800	☐ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description the project has No Potential to Cause Effects. The project is in compliance with Section 106. This proposed project only involves leasing existing apartments and providing supportive services.
Noise Abatement and Control Noise Control Act of 1972, as amended by the Quiet Communities Act of 1978; 24 CFR Part 51 Subpart B	□ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under HUD's noise regulation. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation.
Sole Source Aquifers Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974, as amended, particularly section 1424(e); 40 CFR Part 149	☐ Yes ☑ No	The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements.
Wetlands Protection Executive Order 11990, particularly sections 2 and 5	□ Yes ☑ No	Based on the project description this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.
Wild and Scenic Rivers Act Wild and Scenic Rivers Act of 1968, particularly section 7(b) and (c)	☐ Yes ☑ No	This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.

HUD HOUSING ENVIRONMENTAL STANDARDS			
ENVIRONMENTAL JUSTICE			
Environmental Justice Executive Order 12898	☐ Yes ☑ No	Adverse environmental impacts are not disproportionately high for low-income and/or minority communities. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.	

#### Mitigation Measures and Conditions [40 CFR 1505.2(c)]:

Summarized below are all mitigation measures adopted by the Responsible Entity to reduce, avoid or eliminate adverse environmental impacts and to avoid non-compliance or non-conformance with the above-listed authorities and factors. These measures/conditions must be incorporated into project contracts, development agreements and other relevant documents. The staff responsible for implementing and monitoring mitigation measures should be clearly identified in the mitigation plan.

Law, Authority, or Factor	Mitigation Measure or Condition	Comments on Completed Measures	Complete
Contamination and Toxic Substances	808 S Water: No mitigation measures are warranted for Gilbert and Mosley groundwater contamination.  1219-1225 N Minnesota: "Although no vapor intrusion problems have been found as a result of groundwater pollution underneath a property - as a precaution - any HVAC duct work that runs within the crawl space of the complex needs to be sealed. No water wells are to be within the foot print of the complex - and if a water well is located on the property, it must be reported to Environmental Health for inspection and the appropriate actions based on that inspection.	N/A	
	City of Wichita Office of Environmental Health		

#### **Mitigation Plan**

808 S Water: No mitigation measures are warranted for Gilbert and Mosley groundwater contamination. 1219-1225 N Minnesota: "Although no vapor intrusion problems have been found as a result of groundwater pollution underneath a property - as a precaution - any HVAC duct work that runs within the crawl space of the complex needs to be sealed. No water wells are to be within the foot print of the complex - and if a water well is located on the property, it must be reported to Environmental Health for inspection and the appropriate actions based on that inspection. City of Wichita Office of Environmental Health

Supporting documentation on completed measures

#### **APPENDIX A: Related Federal Laws and Authorities**

#### **Airport Hazards**

General policy	Legislation	Regulation
It is HUD's policy to apply standards to		24 CFR Part 51 Subpart D
prevent incompatible development		
around civil airports and military airfields.		

1. To ensure compatible land use development, you must determine your site's proximity to civil and military airports. Is your project within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport?

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload the map showing that the site is not within the applicable distances to a military or civilian airport below

Yes

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

The project site is not within 15,000 feet of a military airport or 2,500 feet of a civilian airport. The project is in compliance with Airport Hazards requirements.

#### **Supporting documentation**

Airport Clear Zone Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

## **Coastal Barrier Resources**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD financial assistance may not be	Coastal Barrier Resources Act	
used for most activities in units of the	(CBRA) of 1982, as amended by	
Coastal Barrier Resources System	the Coastal Barrier Improvement	
(CBRS). See 16 USC 3504 for limitations	Act of 1990 (16 USC 3501)	
on federal expenditures affecting the		
CBRS.		

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRA units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

This project is located in a state that does not contain CBRS units. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Barrier Resources Act.

#### **Supporting documentation**

Coastal Barrier Resource System List of States - NO Kansas.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

#### Flood Insurance

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Certain types of federal financial assistance may not be	Flood Disaster	24 CFR 50.4(b)(1)
used in floodplains unless the community participates	Protection Act of 1973	and 24 CFR 58.6(a)
in National Flood Insurance Program and flood	as amended (42 USC	and (b); 24 CFR
insurance is both obtained and maintained.	4001-4128)	55.1(b).

# 1. Does this project involve <u>financial assistance for construction, rehabilitation, or</u> acquisition of a mobile home, building, or insurable personal property?

✓ No. This project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project does not require flood insurance or is excepted from flood insurance. While flood insurance may not be mandatory in this instance, HUD recommends that all insurable structures maintain flood insurance under the National Flood Insurance Program (NFIP). The project is in compliance with Flood Insurance requirements.

#### Supporting documentation

1219-1225 N Minnesota FIRMETTE\_20173C0354G Zone X.pdf

1219-1225 N Minnesota COW GIS Zone X.pdf

808 S Water FIRMETTE\_20173C0362G Zone X.pdf

808 S Water COW GIS Zone X.pdf

#### Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

# **Air Quality**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Clean Air Act is administered	Clean Air Act (42 USC 7401 et	40 CFR Parts 6, 51
by the U.S. Environmental	seq.) as amended particularly	and 93
Protection Agency (EPA), which	Section 176(c) and (d) (42 USC	
sets national standards on	7506(c) and (d))	
ambient pollutants. In addition,		
the Clean Air Act is administered		
by States, which must develop		
State Implementation Plans (SIPs)		
to regulate their state air quality.		
Projects funded by HUD must		
demonstrate that they conform		
to the appropriate SIP.		

1. Does your project include new construction or conversion of land use facilitating the development of public, commercial, or industrial facilities OR five or more dwelling units?

Yes

✓ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under the Clean Air Act. The project is in compliance with the Clean Air Act.

#### **Supporting documentation**

Current Non-Attainment Counties as of March 31 2018 EPA Greenbook.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

√ No

# **Coastal Zone Management Act**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Federal assistance to applicant	Coastal Zone Management	15 CFR Part 930
agencies for activities affecting	Act (16 USC 1451-1464),	
any coastal use or resource is	particularly section 307(c)	
granted only when such	and (d) (16 USC 1456(c) and	
activities are consistent with	(d))	
federally approved State		
Coastal Zone Management Act		
Plans.		

This project is located in a state that does not participate in the Coastal Zone Management Program. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

This project is located in a state that does not participate in the Coastal Zone Management Program. Therefore, this project is in compliance with the Coastal Zone Management Act.

#### **Supporting documentation**

Coastal Zone Management Webpage - NO Kansas.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

#### **Contamination and Toxic Substances**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulations
It is HUD policy that all properties that are being		24 CFR 58.5(i)(2)
proposed for use in HUD programs be free of		24 CFR 50.3(i)
hazardous materials, contamination, toxic		
chemicals and gases, and radioactive		
substances, where a hazard could affect the		
health and safety of the occupants or conflict		
with the intended utilization of the property.		

1. How was site contamination evaluated? Select all that apply. Document and upload documentation and reports and evaluation explanation of site contamination below.

American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Phase I Environmental Site Assessment (ESA)

ASTM Phase II ESA

Remediation or clean-up plan

**ASTM Vapor Encroachment Screening** 

- ✓ None of the Above
- 2. Were any on-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property? (Were any recognized environmental conditions or RECs identified in a Phase I ESA and confirmed in a Phase II ESA?)

No

✓ Yes

#### 3. Mitigation

Document and upload the mitigation needed according to the requirements of the appropriate federal, state, tribal, or local oversight agency. If the adverse environmental effects cannot be mitigated, then HUD assistance may not be used for the project at this site.

Can adverse environmental impacts be mitigated?

Adverse environmental impacts cannot feasibly be mitigated.

Yes, adverse environmental impacts can be eliminated through mitigation. Document and upload all mitigation requirements below.

Wichita, KS

4. Describe how compliance was achieved in the text box below. Include any of the following that apply: State Voluntary Clean-up Program, a No Further Action letter, use of engineering controls, or use of institutional controls.

808 S Water: No mitigation measures are warranted for Gilbert and Mosley groundwater contamination.1219-1225 N Minnesota: "Although no vapor intrusion problems have been found as a result of groundwater pollution underneath a property - as a precaution - any HVAC duct work that runs within the crawl space of the complex needs to be sealed. No water wells are to be within the foot print of the complex - and if a water well is located on the property, it must be reported to Environmental Health for inspection and the appropriate actions based on that inspection. City of Wichita Office of Environmental Health

If a remediation plan or clean-up program was necessary, which standard does it follow?

Complete removal

Risk-based corrective action (RBCA)

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

Site contamination was evaluated as follows: None of the above. On-site or nearby toxic, hazardous, or radioactive substances were found that could affect the health and safety of project occupants or conflict with the intended use of the property. The adverse environmental impacts can be mitigated. With mitigation, identified in the mitigation section of this review, the project will be in compliance with contamination and toxic substances requirements.

#### **Supporting documentation**

Comments from COW Office of Environmental Health 808 S Water and 1219-1225 N Minnesota.msg

1219-1225 N Minnesota COW GIS\_KDHE Environmental Report.pdf 808 S Water COW GIS\_KDHE Environmental Report.pdf

# Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

✓ Yes

No

#### **Endangered Species**

General requirements	ESA Legislation	Regulations
Section 7 of the Endangered Species Act (ESA)	The Endangered	50 CFR Part
mandates that federal agencies ensure that	Species Act of 1973	402
actions that they authorize, fund, or carry out	(16 U.S.C. 1531 et	
shall not jeopardize the continued existence of	seq.); particularly	
federally listed plants and animals or result in	section 7 (16 USC	
the adverse modification or destruction of	1536).	
designated critical habitat. Where their actions		
may affect resources protected by the ESA,		
agencies must consult with the Fish and Wildlife		
Service and/or the National Marine Fisheries		
Service ("FWS" and "NMFS" or "the Services").		

# 1. Does the project involve any activities that have the potential to affect specifies or habitats?

✓ No, the project will have No Effect due to the nature of the activities involved in the project.

This selection is only appropriate if none of the activities involved in the project have potential to affect species or habitats. Examples of actions without potential to affect listed species may include: purchasing existing buildings, completing interior renovations to existing buildings, and replacing exterior paint or siding on existing buildings.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

No, the project will have No Effect based on a letter of understanding, memorandum of agreement, programmatic agreement, or checklist provided by local HUD office

Yes, the activities involved in the project have the potential to affect species and/or habitats.

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

This project will have No Effect on listed species due to the nature of the activities involved in the project. This project is in compliance with the Endangered Species Act. This proposed project only involves leasing existing apartments and providing supportive services as described in the project summary.

# **Supporting documentation**

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

**Explosive and Flammable Hazards** 

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD-assisted projects must meet	N/A	24 CFR Part 51
Acceptable Separation Distance (ASD)		Subpart C
requirements to protect them from		
explosive and flammable hazards.		

1.	Is the proposed HUD-assisted project a hazardous facility (a facility that mainly stores,
handles	s or processes flammable or combustible chemicals), i.e. bulk fuel storage facilities,
refineri	es, etc.?

✓	No
	Yes

2. Does this project include any of the following activities: development, construction, rehabilitation that will increase residential densities, or conversion?

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

#### **Screen Summary**

## **Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description the project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with explosive and flammable hazard requirements.

#### **Supporting documentation**

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

#### **Farmlands Protection**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Farmland Protection	Farmland Protection Policy	7 CFR Part 658
Policy Act (FPPA) discourages	Act of 1981 (7 U.S.C. 4201	
federal activities that would	et seq.)	
convert farmland to		
nonagricultural purposes.		

Does your project include any activities, including new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, that could convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use?

Yes



If your project includes new construction, acquisition of undeveloped land or conversion, explain how you determined that agricultural land would not be converted:

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload all documents used to make your determination below.

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

This project does not include any activities that could potentially convert agricultural land to a non-agricultural use. The project is in compliance with the Farmland Protection Policy Act.

#### **Supporting documentation**

1219 & 1225 N Minnesota Farmland Protection Map.pdf 808 S Water Farmland Protection Map.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes



#### Floodplain Management

General Requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11988,	Executive Order 11988	24 CFR 55
Floodplain Management,		
requires federal activities to		
avoid impacts to floodplains		
and to avoid direct and		
indirect support of floodplain		
development to the extent		
practicable.		

# 1. Do any of the following exemptions apply? Select the applicable citation? [only one selection possible]

55.12(c)(3)

55.12(c)(4)

55.12(c)(5)

55.12(c)(6)

55.12(c)(7)

55.12(c)(8)

55.12(c)(9)

55.12(c)(10)

55.12(c)(11)

✓ None of the above

#### 2. Upload a FEMA/FIRM map showing the site here:

1219-1225 N Minnesota FIRMETTE 20173C0354G Zone X(1).pdf 808 S Water FIRMETTE 20173C0362G Zone X(1).pdf 1219-1225 N Minnesota COW GIS Zone X(1).pdf 808 S Water COW GIS Zone X(1).pdf

The Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) designates floodplains. The FEMA Map Service Center provides this information in the form of FEMA Flood Insurance Rate Maps (FIRMs). For projects in areas not mapped by FEMA, use **the best available information** to determine floodplain information. Include documentation, including a discussion of why this is the best available information for the site.

#### Does your project occur in a floodplain?

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

This project does not occur in a floodplain. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11988.

#### **Supporting documentation**

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

#### **Historic Preservation**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Regulations under	Section 106 of the	36 CFR 800 "Protection of Historic
Section 106 of the	National Historic	Properties"
National Historic	Preservation Act	http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisi
Preservation Act	(16 U.S.C. 470f)	dx_10/36cfr800_10.html
(NHPA) require a		
consultative process		
to identify historic		
properties, assess		
project impacts on		
them, and avoid,		
minimize, or mitigate		
adverse effects		

#### Threshold

#### Is Section 106 review required for your project?

No, because the project consists solely of activities listed as exempt in a Programmatic Agreement (PA). (See the PA Database to find applicable PAs.)

✓ No, because the project consists solely of activities included in a No Potential to Cause Effects memo or other determination [36 CFR 800.3(a)(1)].

Yes, because the project includes activities with potential to cause effects (direct or indirect).

Threshold (b). Document and upload the memo or explanation/justification of the other determination below:

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description the project has No Potential to Cause Effects. The project is in compliance with Section 106. This proposed project only involves leasing existing apartments and providing supportive services.

#### Supporting documentation

# Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

#### **Noise Abatement and Control**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
HUD's noise regulations protect	Noise Control Act of 1972	Title 24 CFR 51
residential properties from		Subpart B
excessive noise exposure. HUD	General Services Administration	
encourages mitigation as	Federal Management Circular	
appropriate.	75-2: "Compatible Land Uses at	
	Federal Airfields"	

#### 1. What activities does your project involve? Check all that apply:

New construction for residential use

Rehabilitation of an existing residential property

A research demonstration project which does not result in new construction or reconstruction

An interstate land sales registration

Any timely emergency assistance under disaster assistance provision or appropriations which are provided to save lives, protect property, protect public health and safety, remove debris and wreckage, or assistance that has the effect of restoring facilities substantially as they existed prior to the disaster

✓ None of the above

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description, this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under HUD's noise regulation. The project is in compliance with HUD's Noise regulation.

#### **Supporting documentation**

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

## **Sole Source Aquifers**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Safe Drinking Water Act of 1974	Safe Drinking Water	40 CFR Part 149
protects drinking water systems	Act of 1974 (42 U.S.C.	
which are the sole or principal	201, 300f et seq., and	
drinking water source for an area	21 U.S.C. 349)	
and which, if contaminated, would		
create a significant hazard to public		
health.		

Wichita, KS

1.	Does the project consist solely of acquisition, leasing, or rehabilitation of an existing
building	g(s)?

Yes

✓ No

#### 2. Is the project located on a sole source aguifer (SSA)?

A sole source aquifer is defined as an aquifer that supplies at least 50 percent of the drinking water consumed in the area overlying the aquifer. This includes streamflow source areas, which are upstream areas of losing streams that flow into the recharge area.

< No

 $\checkmark$ 

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload documentation used to make your determination, such as a map of your project (or jurisdiction, if appropriate) in relation to the nearest SSA and its source area, below.

Yes

3. Does your region have a memorandum of understanding (MOU) or other working agreement with Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) for HUD projects impacting a sole source aquifer?

Yes

No

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

The project is not located on a sole source aquifer area. The project is in compliance with Sole Source Aquifer requirements.

#### **Supporting documentation**

Sole Source Aquifers - Kansas 2018.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

#### **Wetlands Protection**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Executive Order 11990 discourages direct or	Executive Order	24 CFR 55.20 can be
indirect support of new construction impacting	11990	used for general
wetlands wherever there is a practicable		guidance regarding
alternative. The Fish and Wildlife Service's		the 8 Step Process.
National Wetlands Inventory can be used as a		
primary screening tool, but observed or known		
wetlands not indicated on NWI maps must also		
be processed Off-site impacts that result in		
draining, impounding, or destroying wetlands		
must also be processed.		

1. Does this project involve new construction as defined in Executive Order 11990, expansion of a building's footprint, or ground disturbance? The term "new construction" shall include draining, dredging, channelizing, filling, diking, impounding, and related activities and any structures or facilities begun or authorized after the effective date of the Order

√ No

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section.

Yes

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

Based on the project description this project includes no activities that would require further evaluation under this section. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 11990.

#### Supporting documentation

Wetlands Mapper 1219-1225 N Minnesota.pdf Wetlands Mapper 808 S Water.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

#### Wild and Scenic Rivers Act

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
The Wild and Scenic Rivers Act	The Wild and Scenic Rivers	36 CFR Part 297
provides federal protection for	Act (16 U.S.C. 1271-1287),	
certain free-flowing, wild, scenic	particularly section 7(b) and	
and recreational rivers	(c) (16 U.S.C. 1278(b) and (c))	
designated as components or		
potential components of the		
National Wild and Scenic Rivers		
System (NWSRS) from the effects		
of construction or development.		

#### 1. Is your project within proximity of a NWSRS river?

✓ No

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Designated Wild and Scenic River or Study Wild and Scenic River.

Yes, the project is in proximity of a Nationwide Rivers Inventory (NRI) River.

#### **Screen Summary**

#### **Compliance Determination**

This project is not within proximity of a NWSRS river. The project is in compliance with the Wild and Scenic Rivers Act.

#### **Supporting documentation**

Wild and Scenic Rivers System - Kansas.pdf

Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes

#### **Environmental Justice**

General requirements	Legislation	Regulation
Determine if the project	Executive Order 12898	
creates adverse environmental		
impacts upon a low-income or		
minority community. If it		
does, engage the community		
in meaningful participation		
about mitigating the impacts		
or move the project.		

HUD strongly encourages starting the Environmental Justice analysis only after all other laws and authorities, including Environmental Assessment factors if necessary, have been completed.

1.	Were any adverse environmental impacts identified in any other compliance review
portion	of this project's total environmental review?

✓ Yes

2. Were these adverse environmental impacts disproportionately high for low-income and/or minority communities?

Yes

No

✓ No

#### **Explain:**

Many places throughout Wichita have groundwater contamination.

Based on the response, the review is in compliance with this section. Document and upload any supporting documentation below.

#### Screen Summary

#### **Compliance Determination**

Adverse environmental impacts are not disproportionately high for low-income and/or minority communities. The project is in compliance with Executive Order 12898.

#### **Supporting documentation**

# Are formal compliance steps or mitigation required?

Yes